## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

		·	
	Plaintiff(s)	: Case No.	
	(-)	: District Judge Susan J. Dlott	
V.		: : JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN :	
	Defendant(s)	: :	
Now	come all parties to this case, by	y and through their respective counsel, and hereby jointly	
submit to the	Court this Joint Discovery P	Plan, pursuant to the Court's Pretrial Procedure Outline.	
The parties co	onducted their discovery con-	ference on	
1.	MAGISTRATE CONSE	<u>NT</u>	
The P	arties:		
	unanimously consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (c).		
	do not unanimously consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (c).		
	Magistrate Judge pursuant t	gent consent to the jurisdiction of the United States to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (c), for trial purposes only, in the event gned is unavailable on the date set for trial (e.g. because or criminal).	

R	ULE 26(A) DISCLOSURES				
di m	There are no changes that need to be made in the timing, form, or requirement for disclosures under Rule 26(a). All disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1) have been made by the parties hereto or will be made by the time of the scheduled Preliminary Pretrial Conference.				
	arties agree to delay the initial disclosure until The se of delay is				
	to give the Court time to rule on the pending dispositive motion.				
	·				
D	ISCOVERY ISSUES AND DATES				
Di	iscovery will need to be conducted on the issues of				
	isclosure or discovery of electronically stored information should be handled as llows				
Tł	ne parties recommend that discovery				
	need not be bifurcated				
	should be hifurested between liability and demages				
	should be bifurcated between liability and damages				

D.	Disclosure and report of plaintiff expert(s) by					
E.	Disclosure and report of defendant expert(s) by					
F.	Discovery cutoff					
G.	Antic	Anticipated discovery problems				
		None				
4.	LIMITATIONS ON DISCOVERY					
A.	Chan	Changes in the limitations on discovery				
		Extension of time limitations (currently one day of seven hours) in taking of depositions to				
		Extension of number of depositions (currently 10) permitted to				
		Extension of number of interrogatories (currently 25) to				
		Other:				
		None				
В.	Prote	Protective Order				
		A protective order will likely be submitted to the Court on or before				
		The parties currently do not anticipate the need for a protective order. If the parties subsequently deem that one is necessary, they will submit a joint proposed order to the Court. Such order will be in compliance with <i>Procter &amp; Gamble Co. v. Bankers Trust Co.</i> , 78 F. 3d 219 (6th Cir. 1996).				

5.	OTHER COURT ORDERS UNDER RULE 26(C) OR RULE 16(B) A				
	The plaintiff anticipates the need for the Court to set a deadline to allow for the amendment of the complaint to				
		add parties.			
	☐ add additional claims.				
		add factual allegations to support original claim(s).			
			·		
Signatures:	ignatures: Attorney for Plaintiff(s)		Attorney for Defendant(s)		
	Atto	rney for	Attorney for Defendant(s)		